



**NSAI**  
Standards

Irish Standard Recommendation  
S.R. CWA 17453:2019

## Bionic Aircraft - Optimized ALM support structures made from Al alloys

**S.R. CWA 17453:2019**

*Incorporating amendments/corrigenda/National Annexes issued since publication:*

The National Standards Authority of Ireland (NSAI) produces the following categories of formal documents:

I.S. xxx: Irish Standard — national specification based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

S.R. xxx: Standard Recommendation — recommendation based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

SWiFT xxx: A rapidly developed recommendatory document based on the consensus of the participants of an NSAI workshop.

*This document replaces/revises/consolidates the NSAI adoption of the document(s) indicated on the CEN/CENELEC cover/Foreword and the following National document(s):*

*NOTE: The date of any NSAI previous adoption may not match the date of its original CEN/CENELEC document.*

*This document is based on:*

CWA 17453:2019

*Published:*

2019-09-11

*This document was published under the authority of the NSAI and comes into effect on:*

2019-09-29

ICS number:

25.030

49.025.20

NOTE: If blank see CEN/CENELEC cover page

NSAI  
1 Swift Square,  
Northwood, Santry  
Dublin 9

T +353 1 807 3800  
F +353 1 807 3838  
E standards@nsai.ie  
W NSAI.ie

Sales:  
T +353 1 857 6730  
F +353 1 857 6729  
W standards.ie

Údarás um Chaighdeáin Náisiúnta na hÉireann

## National Foreword

S.R. CWA 17453:2019 is the adopted Irish version of the European Document CWA 17453:2019, Bionic Aircraft - Optimized ALM support structures made from Al alloys

This document does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

For relationships with other publications refer to the NSAI web store.

**Compliance with this document does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligations.**

*In line with international standards practice the decimal point is shown as a comma (,) throughout this document.*

This page is intentionally left blank

**CEN**

**CWA 17453**

**WORKSHOP**

September 2019

**AGREEMENT**

---

ICS

English version

## Bionic Aircraft - Optimized ALM support structures made from Al alloys

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION  
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION  
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

---

## CWA 17453:2019 (E)

<b>Contents</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>European foreword</b> .....		<b>3</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....		<b>5</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Scope</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Normative references</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>General approach</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>General</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Needs and functions of support structures in metal LBM</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Currently used support structures and their downsides</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Research approach</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Aim of the work</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Optimization approach</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Characterization of standard support structures</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>5.3.1</b>	<b>Selection of standard support types</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>5.3.2</b>	<b>Criteria for characterization</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>5.3.3</b>	<b>Definition and fabrication of test specimen</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>5.3.4</b>	<b>Measurement and evaluation methods</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>5.3.5</b>	<b>Results</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Novel biomimetic support structures</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>5.4.1</b>	<b>Optimization goal and criteria</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>5.4.2</b>	<b>Biomimetic development of support structures</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>5.4.3</b>	<b>Definition and fabrication of test specimen</b> .....	<b>24</b>
<b>5.4.4</b>	<b>Measurement and evaluation methods</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>5.4.5</b>	<b>Results</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>5.4.6</b>	<b>Material usage</b> .....	<b>27</b>
<b>5.4.7</b>	<b>Removability</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b> .....	<b>29</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Conclusions for design guidelines</b> .....	<b>29</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Conclusions for optimized biomimetic support structures</b> .....	<b>29</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Outlook</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Appendix</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....		<b>33</b>

## European foreword

CWA 17453 is a technical agreement, developed and approved by an open, independent Workshop structure within the framework of the CEN-CENELEC system.

CWA 17453 reflects the agreement only of the registered participants responsible for its content, and was developed in accordance with the CEN-CENELEC rules and practices for the development and approval of CEN/CENELEC Workshop Agreements.

CWA 17453 does not have the status of a European Standard (EN) developed by CEN and/or CENELEC and their national Members. It does not represent the wider level of consensus and transparency required for a European Standard (EN) and is not intended to support legislative requirements or to address issues with significant health and safety implications. For these reasons, CEN and/or CENELEC are not accountable for the technical content of CWA 17453 or for any possible conflicts with national standards or legislation.

CWA 17453 was developed in accordance with CEN-CENELEC Guide 29 “CEN/CENELEC Workshop Agreements – The way to rapid agreement” and with the relevant provisions of CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations – Part 2. It was agreed on 2019-08-31 in a Workshop by representatives of interested parties, approved and supported by CEN and/or CENELEC following a public call for participation made on 2019-04-09. It does not necessarily reflect the views of all stakeholders that might have an interest in its subject matter.

The research leading to these results has funding from the European Union's HORIZON 2020 Programme under the grant agreement numbers 690689 (Bionic Aircraft).

The final text of CWA 17453 was submitted to CEN for publication on 2019-07-19. It was developed and approved by:

- Dr.-Ing. Philipp Imgrund, Fraunhofer-Einrichtung für Additive Produktionstechnologien IAPT – Chairman
- Francisco Luis Arribas, UNE – Secretary
- Melanie Gralow, Fraunhofer-Einrichtung für Additive Produktionstechnologien IAPT – Project Leader
- Jochen Michael, CENIT AG
- Michael Schwartz, CENIT AG
- Kristina Wanieck, THD – Technische Hochschule Deggendorf
- Tim Wischeropp, IAPT
- Maria Parco, Fundación TECNALIA
- Richard Seddon, Fundación TECNALIA
- Vincenzo De Rosa, Leonardo Aircraft

## **CWA 17453:2019 (E)**

It is possible that some elements of CWA 17453 may be subject to patent rights. The CEN-CENELEC policy on patent rights is set out in CEN-CENELEC Guide 8 “Guidelines for Implementation of the Common IPR Policy on Patents (and other statutory intellectual property rights based on inventions)”. CEN and/or CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The Workshop participants have made every effort to ensure the reliability and accuracy of the technical and non-technical content of CWA 17453, but this does not guarantee, either explicitly or implicitly, its correctness. Users of CWA 17453 should be aware that neither the Workshop participants, nor CEN and/or CENELEC can be held liable for damages or losses of any kind whatsoever which may arise from its application. Users of CWA 17453 do so on their own responsibility and at their own risk.

The copyright in CWA 17453 is owned exclusively by CEN and/or CENELEC. Copies of CWA 17453 are available from the national standards bodies and/or national electrotechnical committees of the following countries: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

Comments or suggestions from the users of the CEN-CENELEC Workshop Agreement are welcome and should be addressed to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre.



## Introduction

The goal of the present investigation was to optimize support structures in Laser beam melting of metals and provide yet missing design guidelines for support generation. The latter then set the framework for automated support generation within pre-processing tools for additive manufacturing (AM), which is crucial to speed up the data preparation and pave the way for industrialization of the AM technology for metal parts. Adequate application of supports increases the productivity by preventing build job failures and is one key factor to ensure a reproducible part quality. The research approach aims at an optimization by adequate selection of various support types rather than a parameter optimization of those. Herefore five different support types in total have been chosen and characterized with regard to various target figures: Material consumption, removability and tensile strength of the supports themselves, as well as surface influence on and dimensional accuracy of the supported part. Additionally, novel biomimetic support structures have been developed and tested for material consumption and removability.

Results reveal that proper selection of supports can greatly reduce post processing effort regarding removability of supports and overall material consumption, while the post processing effort for surface finishing is not positively affected. The novel biomimetic support structures show promising results considering material consumption and removability and will therefore be further investigated.

This document represents part of the work as performed in Task 'Integration of ALM pre-processor in commercial 3D-CAD software' of WP3'Bionic Design & Optimization'. In the scope of the respective WP3 the design process of parts for additive manufacturing, and more specifically laser beam melting, should be simplified and shortened by developing a software toolkit. This tool comprises all necessary functionalities to achieve a final part design that is Additive Manufacturing (AM-) suitable and allows the needed data preparation in order to obtain an output file that can directly be processed by the AM machine.

This document displays the currently available support structures in laser beam melting (LBM) for metal parts. The need for optimized support structures will be shown with regard to the criteria and requirements that apply. Furthermore, the chosen approaches for achieving optimized support structures are laid out.

## CWA 17453:2019 (E)

### 1 Scope

This document provides a mutual international understanding of optimized support structures in the laser beam melting of Al alloys. It provides the missing design guidelines for the choice of adequate support types for different use cases. Therefore, five different support types in total have been chosen and characterized regarding various target figures: Material consumption, removability and tensile strength of the supports themselves, as well as surface influence on and dimensional accuracy of the supported part. Additionally, novel biomimetic support types have been developed and tested for material consumption and removability, showing great potential for further optimization.

Adequate application of supports increases the productivity by preventing build job failures and is one key factor to ensure a reproducible part quality. The novel biomimetic support structures show promising results considering material consumption and removability.

### 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

### 3 Terms and definitions

No terms and definitions are listed in this document.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

### 4 General approach

#### 4.1 General

The respective research method and results are described and summarized in a proposal for optimized support structures.

#### 4.2 Needs and functions of support structures in metal LBM

Support structures are separate structures that are only needed throughout the build job process itself to ensure a stable buildup. They do not belong to the actual part and therefore need to be removed once the part has been manufactured. In metal laser beam melting (LBM) the use of so called support structures becomes necessary for several reasons: On the one hand, they need to compensate mechanical loads and fixate the part on the platform. On the other hand they need to dissipate process heat in order to prevent deformations (refer to TÖPPEL ET AL. 2016). Next to these major functions of support structures there are other requirements posed from a manufacturing point of view: Production time, the amount of material necessary for supports (including possibly enclosed powder) and how to build and remove the support structures (PIILI & SALMINEN 2014).

#### 4.3 Currently used support structures and their downsides

When it comes to data preparation in additive manufacturing there are a few software providers that dominate the market: Materialise, Autodesk, Dassault Systemes and Siemens. Out of these Materialise's software package *Magics* offers the most elaborate choice of support types and adaption of these, which is the reason why the present study has been done based on supports available in *Materialise Magics*.

This is a free preview. Purchase the entire publication at the link below:

[Product Page](#)

- 
- [Looking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore](#)
  - [Learn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation](#)
-