

National Standards Authority of Ireland

**IRISH STANDARD** 

#### I.S. CWA 15248:2005

ICS 35.240.99

National Standards Authority of Ireland Glasnevin, Dublin 9 Ireland

Tel: +353 1 807 3800 Fax: +353 1 807 3838 http://www.nsai.ie

Sales http://www.standards.ie

This Irish Standard was published under the authority of the National Standards Authority of Ireland and comes into effect on: June 22, 2005

NO COPYING WITHOUT NSAI PERMISSION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW

© NSAI 2005

Price Code J

Údarás um Chaighdeáin Náisiúnta na hÉireann

**GUIDELINES FOR MACHINE-PROCESSABLE** 

**REPRESENTATION OF DUBLIN CORE** 

**APPLICATION PROFILES** 

This is a free page sample. Access the full version online.

**CWA 15248** 

April 2005

## CEN

## WORKSHOP

## AGREEMENT

ICS 35.240.99

English version

### Guidelines for machine-processable representation of Dublin Core Application Profiles

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

© 2005 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members.

# Contents

Conte	Contents		
Fore	oreword		
Introd	ntroduction		
1	Scope		. 5
2 2.1 2.2	Definitions and terminology Definitions Terminology		6
3	Usage scenarios		. 8
4	Choice of representation language for DCAPs		
5 5.1 5.2	Good practice Declaring property usage Identifying terms		.10
6 6.1 6.2	DCAP Data Model and Property Usage Characteristics of DCAP Property Usage Attributes of DCAP Property Usage		.11
7	Bibliograph	у	14
Appe A.1 A.2 A.3 A.4 A.5 A.6 A.7 A.8 A.9 A.10 A.11	Agency Schema Doo Metadata Vo Property Class Instance/Ind Binding Sche Obligation (N VocabSta	Attributes of data model entities	15 16 16 17 17 18 18 19
Appe B.1 B.2		Example DCAPs expressed using RDF Schema C Dublin Core Application Profile us Dublin Core Application Profile	20
Appe	ndix C.	Expressing DCAPs using OWL	28
Appendix D. Comparison of the IEEE/LOM and DC data models		Comparison of the IEEE/LOM and DC data models	29
Appendix E. Geographic requirements for DCAP		Geographic requirements for DCAP	30

## Foreword

The production of this CEN Workshop Agreement (CWA) was formally accepted as part of the CEN/ISSS Workshop on Metadata for Multimedia Information - Dublin Core (WS/MMI-DC) in the Workshop's plenary meeting on 2004-01-18.

This CWA was agreed upon by the contributing partners in the CEN/ISSS Workshop on MMI-DC, representing a wide mix of interests, including administrations, libraries, on-line education and geographic information systems. The list of company individuals who have supported the document's contents may be obtained from the CEN/ISSS Secretariat.

The CWA was approved by the Workshop's plenary meeting on 2005-01-12.

The final text of this CWA was submitted to CEN for publication 2005-02-09.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the National Members of CEN : AENOR, AFNOR, BSI, COSMT, DIN, DS, ELOT, IBN/BIN, IPQ, IST, MSA, NEN, NSAI, NSF, ON, SEE, SIS, SFS, SNV, UNI.

## Introduction

The function of a Dublin Core Application Profile (DCAP) is to specify which terms an organisation, information provider, or community uses in its metadata. A DCAP identifies the 'properties' (also known as elements and element refinements) used by an application to describe a resource. A DCAP should reference a property it uses by citing the globally unique identifier as assigned to the property by its owner. Optionally the DCAP documents how other terms (in particular encoding schemes) constrain, encode, or interpret the values of 'properties' for application-specific purposes. A DCAP should also reference these encoding schemes by citing a globally unique identifier.

The intended effect of documenting usage of properties and other terms in this manner is to support re-use of DCAPs within communities of practice, promoting interoperability within the constraints of the Dublin Core model and encouraging harmonisation of usage and convergence on "emerging semantics".

In order for DCAPs to be used effectively, it is essential that the content of the DCAP is structured in a precise and rigorous way, and that the content is based on sound modelling. In order to move forward consensus on a common data model, the CEN MMI-DC Working Group has drawn up these guidelines, which propose a detailed data model as the basis for both human readable and machine-processable DCAPs. These guidelines build on and extend the previous CEN Workshop Agreement (CWA) 14855: Dublin Core Application Profile Guidelines [CWA 14855].

CWA 14855 gives guidance for representing a DCAP as a document specifying the metadata terms used in an application. It describes a normalized documentational form for presenting usage information in a readable and well-structured manner. Such DCAPs are intended primarily for human consumption, whether "as plain text files or as Web pages, word-processing files, PowerPoint, or indeed as ink on paper". With an eye towards the potential for machine-to-machine use of DCAPs, however, CWA 14855 mandates "enough structure to ensure that DCAPs will be convertible as straightforwardly as possible into expressions that use schema languages, such as [the Resource Description Framework] RDF, for automatic processing by machines." However, it should be noted that CWA 14855 states "there can be no assumption that documentational DCAPs will be convertible into machine-understandable forms without the use of ad-hoc heuristics or manual intervention."

Building on CWA 14855, this CWA suggests a machine-processable representation of a DCAP using the conventions of the Resource Description Framework [RDF] in order to enable the DCAP to be exchanged between applications.

As a formalisation of the relationships between metadata properties, RDF lends itself to providing information about usage of properties within applications. So, for example, DCAPs expressed as RDF might be indexed in registries to provide usage information amongst a federation of data providers or even within a single organisation. Initial experiences in a research context suggests that "application profile registries" might be constructed on the basis of a distributed architecture in which content providers maintain schemas for their own metadata, and those schemas are harvested and merged into a central index for discovery and re-use by others. Such processes remain the object of ongoing experimentation and research. The deployment of such systems presupposes the existence of an underlying common data model.

Proposing such a model with regard to the expression of DCAPs is the object of this CWA. After some background discussion, this CWA outlines a DCAP data model indicating the characteristics of an Application Profile. The attributes of the various entities within the data model are listed, and some examples are given of machine-processable schemas constructed using RDF conventions. As an appendix, the guidelines address interoperability issues between DC and IEEE/LOM [IEEE/LOM], identifying areas of commonality and areas of difference. Guidance will be given as to current positions of the two communities regarding re-use of data elements. Within a further appendix, observations are given from a GIS perspective.



This is a free preview. Purchase the entire publication at the link below:

**Product Page** 

S Looking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore

> Learn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation