

Standard Recommendation S.R. CWA 16050:2009

A framework for the emerging network infrastructure of e-Invoice service providers throughout Europe

© NSAI 2009

No copying without NSAI permission except as permitted by copyright law.

Incorporating amendments/corrigenda issued since publication:

This document replaces:

This document is based on: CWA 16050:2009

Published:

This document was published under the authority of the NSAI and comes into effect on: 29 December, 2009

ICS number: 35.240.60

NSAI

1 Swift Square, Northwood, Santry Dublin 9

T +353 1 807 3800 F +353 1 807 3838

E standards@nsai.ie

W NSAl.ie

Sales:

T +353 1 857 6730 F +353 1 857 6729 W standards.ie

Údarás um Chaighdeáin Náisiúnta na hÉireann

This is a free page sample. Access the full version online.

S.R. CWA 16050:2009

CEN CWA 16050

WORKSHOP December 2009

AGREEMENT

ICS 35.240.60

English version

A framework for the emerging network infrastructure of elnvoice service providers throughout Europe

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

CWA 16050:2009 (E)

Contents

Foreword 3 1. Scope 5 2. Normative References 6 3. Definitions and abbreviations 7 3.1 Definitions 7 3.2 Abbreviations 8 4. Framework for emerging network infrastructure of electronic invoice service providers throughout Europe 9 4.1 Objective of the document 9 4.2 Interoperability framework 9 4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversions for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original Invoice 20	Contents			
2. Normative References 6 3. Definitions and abbreviations 7 3.1 Definitions 7 3.2 Abbreviations 8 4. Framework for emerging network infrastructure of electronic invoice service providers throughout Europe 9 4.1 Objective of the document 9 4.2 Interoperability framework 9 4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving				
2. Normative References 6 3. Definitions and abbreviations 7 3.1 Definitions 7 3.2 Abbreviations 8 4. Framework for emerging network infrastructure of electronic invoice service providers throughout Europe 9 4.1 Objective of the document 9 4.2 Interoperability framework 9 4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving	1 Scone		5	
3. Definitions 7 3.1 Definitions 7 3.2 Abbreviations 8 4. Framework for emerging network infrastructure of electronic invoice service providers throughout Europe 9 4.1 Objective of the document 9 4.2 Interoperability framework 9 4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original Invoice 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 </th <th>•</th> <th></th> <th></th>	•			
3.1 Definitions 7 3.2 Abbreviations 8 4. Framework for emerging network infrastructure of electronic invoice service providers throughout 8 4.1 Objective of the document 9 4.2 Interoperability framework 9 4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.2 Minimum information content 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original Invoice 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8				
3.2 Abbreviations				
4. Framework for emerging network infrastructure of electronic invoice service providers throughout Europe				
Europe 9 4.1 Objective of the document 9 4.2 Interoperability framework 9 4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.2 Recommon format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original privoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td> 8</td></td<>			8	
4.1 Objective of the document 9 4.2 Interoperability framework 9 4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation – Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services			0	
4.2 Interoperability framework 9 4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry				
4.3 Interconnection Scenarios 10 4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality				
4.4 Service provider Criteria 13 4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
4.5 Interoperability agreement between service providers 13 4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23				
4.6 Data Interchange 15 4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23				
4.7 Routing 15 4.8 Electronic ID's – (elnvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 20 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23				
4.8 Electronic ID's – (eInvoice addressing for routing) 15 4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23	_			
4.9 Message Confirmation - Functional Acknowledgement 16 5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23				
5 Conversion 17 5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23	_			
5.1 Need for conversion 17 5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23				
5.2 Recommendations for conversion 17 5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23				
5.3 Different conversion scenarios 18 5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23				
5.4 Common format for elnvoice 19 5.5 Minimum information content 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23	5.3			
5.5 Minimum information content. 19 5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23	5.4			
5.6 Original message in envelope 20 5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23	5.5			
5.7 Original Invoice 20 5.8 Electronic Signature 21 5.9 Archiving 21 6 Additional third party services 22 6.1 Benefits of third party services 22 6.2 elnvoicing Address registry 22 6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry 22 6.2.2 General functionality 23	5.6	Original message in envelope	20	
5.9Archiving216Additional third party services226.1Benefits of third party services226.2elnvoicing Address registry226.2.1The purpose of Address registry226.2.2General functionality23	5.7			
6 Additional third party services	5.8	Electronic Signature	21	
6.1Benefits of third party services.226.2eInvoicing Address registry.226.2.1The purpose of Address registry.226.2.2General functionality.23				
6.2 eInvoicing Address registry	6 Add			
6.2.1 The purpose of Address registry	6.1			
6.2.2 General functionality	6.2	elnvoicing Address registry	22	
	-			
	6.2.3	Overall description of the registry		
6.3 Addressing schemes				
6.4 Minimum data requirements for Address Registry	_	Minimum data requirements for Address Registry	25	
6.5 Security requirements for registry information				
6.6 Confidentiality				
6.7 Integrity	_			
6.8 Availability				
6.9 Other third party services 26				
6.10 Certification centers (service provider)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

CWA 16050:2009 (E)

Foreword

This CWA has been prepared by the CEN/ISSS Workshop on 'elnvoicing Phase 2' (WS/elnv2)

The CWA has been approved at the final workshop plenary meeting on 10 September 2009. This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the National Members of CEN: AENOR, AFNOR, ASRO, BSI, CSNI, CYS, DIN, DS, ELOT, EVS, IBN, IPQ, IST, LVS, LST, MSA, MSZT, NEN, NSAI, ON, PKN, SEE, SIS, SIST, SFS, SN, SNV, SUTN and UNI.

This CEN Workshop Agreement (CWA) is part of a set of CWAs that has been prepared by Phase II of the CEN/ISSS Workshop on Electronic Invoicing in the European Community.

The objective of this Phase of the Workshop is to help to fill gaps in standardization for the use of electronic invoice processes, to identify the various practices in member states, to integrate the emerging technical and practical solutions into effective good practices, and to define and disseminate these good practices for e-invoices in close coordination and cooperation with private industry, solution providers and public administrations.

Five initial Projects have been established with a view to supporting the:

- 1. Enhanced adoption of electronic invoicing in business processes in Europe;
- 2. Compliance of electronic invoice implementations with Council Directive 2001/115/EC and Directive on the Common System of Value Added Tax 2006/112/EC as well as Member States national legislation as regards electronic invoicing.;
- 3. Cost-effective authenticity and integrity of electronic invoices regardless of formats and technologies;
- 4. Effective implementation of compliant electronic invoice systems in using emerging technologies and business processes; and
- 5. Emerging network infrastructure of invoice operators throughout Europe.

This CWA was developed by the working group on the project 5. listed above.

In addition, the Workshop has strived after the responsibility for the standards aspects of the European Commission's expert group on electronic invoicing, complementing and linking with the relevant Commission groups, and ensuring the relevant global standards activities are correctly informed and primed. In this activity, it aims to ensure collaboration with other CEN/ISSS groups, European Commission Expert Group on elnvoicing (EEI) Network and Standards subgroup and UN/CEFACT (TBGs1, 5 and 15.)

Companies supporting the current CWA

Accelya, France ACEAT, Tax Agency of Spain, Spain Adobe Europe, United Kingdom Agenzia della Entrate, Italy AITI, Association of the Italian Corporate Treasurers, Italy ARIBA, Germany AUDI AG, Germany Austria Pro, Austria B2boost SA, Belgium BMW AG, Germany Campus 02, Austria CBI Consortium, Italy Crossgate AG, Germany Datacert, United Kingdom Deutsche Post, Germany Dr. Otto Mueller Consulting, Switzerland **EDIFICE** ELMA, Finland

CWA 16050:2009 (E)

EQUENS, The Netherlands

FHNW, Fachhochschule Nordwestschweir, Switzerland

FIR-DIG Consultants, Italy

FMS Group, Italy

France Telecom, France

GS1 international, Belgium

Hilti A.G., Liechtenstein

IBM, United Kingdom

Infocert spa, Italy

Innovery spa, Italy

Itella Information AS, Estonia

Legal Counsel Engel-Flechsig, Germany

Ministerio de Industria, Turismo y Comercio, Spain

MKE, Belgium

Odette International Itd., United Kingdom

RBS, The Netherlands

SFTI, Sweden

STS Group, France

Sofid/eact, Italy

TecCom GmbH, Germany

Thales, United Kingdom

The Netherlands Tax and Customs Administration, The Netherlands

Tieke, Finland

Trustweaver, Sweden

VAT Forum cv, Belgium

Verband der Automobilindustrie e.V., Germany

XFT GmbH, Germany

1. Scope

When implementing electronic business and administration related documents within the supply chain, including (in particular) electronic invoicing - as well as others, many organizations decide to utilize the services from (elnvoice) service providers¹. There are several benefits from using service provider's services, some are the same for large enterprises as well as for SME's, while others are different. The major reason for SME's to use service provider services is the possibility to concentrate on their core business and utilize service provider expertise and facilities for electronic invoicing without investing too much themselves in dedicated personnel, equipment and software. Large enterprises are looking also for outsourcing and rationalizing electronic invoicing tasks. In addition to that they often want to have a single entry point for their exchange of electronic invoices.

The present document gives:

 guidance on good practices for service providers in their activities on information exchange and routing,

as well as

 issues related to elnvoice addressing to facilitate the electronic invoice exchange between the service provider networks.

The present document is applicable to Business-to-Business² elnvoicing in general, whether the invoice is handled with out without human intervention and it is mainly addressing the service provider functions as part of the elnvoice transaction chain. There is a lot of legislative issues and regulations related to elnvoicing and service provider operation.

Topics like

- general legal issues,
- taxation(VAT),
- electronic signatures and
- archiving techniques

are noted, but discussion is out of the scope of this CWA.

1 Service provider is an organization providing third party services for Customers and Suppliers by e.g. implementing elnvoicing

² Also indicated by B2B, B2b or b2B where the 'b' refers to an SME and the 'B' to a larger size business entity. Business to Government is considered equivalent to B2B and not mentioned separately here



Product Page

- Dooking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore
- Dearn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation