



**NSAI**  
Standards

Standard Recommendation  
S.R. CLC/TR 50126-2:2007

# Railway applications - The specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) -- Part 2: Guide to the application of EN 50126-1 for safety

## S.R. CLC/TR 50126-2:2007

*Incorporating amendments/corrigenda issued since publication:*

<i>This document replaces:</i>	<i>This document is based on:</i> CLC/TR 50126-2:2007	<i>Published:</i> 22 February, 2007
This document was published under the authority of the NSAI and comes into effect on:  21 March, 2007		ICS number: 45.020
<b>NSAI</b> 1 Swift Square, Northwood, Santry Dublin 9	T +353 1 807 3800 F +353 1 807 3838 E standards@nsai.ie  W <b>NSAI.ie</b>	<b>Sales:</b> T +353 1 857 6730 F +353 1 857 6729 W standards.ie  <b>Price Code:</b> AC
Údarás um Chaighdeáin Náisiúnta na hÉireann		

TECHNICAL REPORT  
RAPPORT TECHNIQUE  
TECHNISCHER BERICHT

**CLC/TR 50126-2**

February 2007

ICS 45.020

English version

**Railway applications -  
The specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability,  
Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) -  
Part 2: Guide to the application of EN 50126-1 for safety**

Applications ferroviaires -  
Spécification et démonstration  
de la fiabilité, de la disponibilité,  
de la maintenabilité  
et de la sécurité (FDMS) -  
Partie 2: Guide pour l'application  
de l'EN 50126-1 à la sécurité

Bahnanwendungen -  
Spezifikation und Nachweis  
der Zuverlässigkeit, Verfügbarkeit,  
Instandhaltbarkeit, Sicherheit (RAMS) -  
Teil 2: Leitfaden zur Anwendung  
der EN 50126-1 für Sicherheit

This Technical Report was approved by CENELEC on 2007-01-22.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

**CENELEC**

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization  
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique  
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

**Central Secretariat: rue de Stassart 35, B - 1050 Brussels**

## **Foreword**

The European Standard EN 50126-1:1999, which was prepared jointly by the Technical Committees CENELEC TC 9X, Electric and electronic applications for railways, and CEN TC 256, Railway applications, under mode 4 co-operation, deals with the specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) for railway applications.

A guide to the application of EN 50126-1 for safety of railway systems (this CLC/TR 50126-2) and a guide for the application to EN 50126-1 for rolling stock RAM (CLC/TR 50126-3:2006) have been produced to form informative parts of EN 50126-1:1999. Whilst this CLC/TR 50126-2 is applicable to all railway systems, including rolling stock, CLC/TR 50126-3:2006 is applicable to rolling stock RAM only.

This Technical Report, which was prepared by WG 8 of the Technical Committee CENELEC TC 9X, forms an informative part of EN 50126-1:1999 and contains guidelines for the application of EN 50126-1 for the safety of railway systems.

The text of the draft was submitted to the vote and was approved by CENELEC as CLC/TR 50126-2 on 2007-01-22.

-----

## Contents

<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>1 Scope.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2 References.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3 Definitions and abbreviations.....</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1 Guidance on the interpretation of terms and definitions used in EN 50126-1 .....	12
3.2 Additional safety terms .....	15
3.3 Abbreviations .....	17
<b>4 Guidance on bodies/entities involved and concepts of system hierarchy and safety.....</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	17
4.2 Bodies/entities involved in a system.....	18
4.3 Concepts of system hierarchy .....	18
4.3.1 Rail transport system environment and system hierarchy .....	19
4.4 Safety concepts .....	19
4.4.1 Hazard perspective .....	19
4.4.2 Risk.....	21
4.4.3 Risk normalising .....	22
<b>5 Generic risk model for a typical railway system and check list of common functional hazards ....</b>	<b>23</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	23
5.2 Generic risk model .....	23
5.3 Risk assessment process.....	24
5.3.1 Introduction.....	24
5.3.2 Generic process .....	24
5.4 Application of the risk assessment process .....	28
5.4.1 Depth of analysis.....	29
5.4.2 Preliminary hazard analysis .....	29
5.4.3 Qualitative and Quantitative assessment.....	30
5.4.4 Use of historical data.....	31
5.4.5 Sensitivity analysis .....	32
5.4.6 Risk assessment during life cycle phases.....	32
5.5 Check-list of common functional hazards and hazard identification .....	33
5.5.1 Introduction.....	33
5.5.2 Hazard grouping structures .....	34
5.5.3 Check-list of "Hazards" .....	35
<b>6 Guidance on application of functional safety, functional safety requirements and SI targets, risk apportionment and application of SILs .....</b>	<b>36</b>
6.1 Introduction.....	36
6.2 Functional and technical safety .....	36
6.2.1 System characteristics .....	36
6.2.2 Railway system structure and safety requirements .....	37
6.2.3 Safety related functional and technical characteristics and overall system safety .....	37

6.3	General considerations for risk apportionment .....	38
6.3.1	Introduction .....	38
6.3.2	Approaches to apportionment of safety targets .....	38
6.3.3	Use of THRs .....	40
6.4	Guidance on the concept of SI and the application of SILs .....	40
6.4.1	Safety integrity .....	40
6.4.2	Using SI concept in the specification of safety requirements .....	42
6.4.3	Link between THR and SIL .....	46
6.4.4	Controlling random failures and systematic faults to achieve SI .....	46
6.4.5	Use and misuse of SILs .....	49
6.5	Guidance on fail-safe systems .....	51
6.5.1	Fail-safe concept .....	51
6.5.2	Designing fail-safe systems .....	52
<b>7</b>	<b>Guidance on methods for combining probabilistic and deterministic means for safety demonstration .....</b>	<b>54</b>
7.1	Safety demonstration .....	54
7.1.1	Introduction .....	54
7.1.2	Detailed guidance on safety demonstration approaches .....	54
7.1.3	Safety qualification tests .....	65
7.2	Deterministic methods .....	65
7.3	Probabilistic methods .....	65
7.4	Combining deterministic and probabilistic methods .....	65
7.5	Methods for mechanical and mixed (mechatronic) systems .....	66
<b>8</b>	<b>Guidance on the risk acceptance principles .....</b>	<b>67</b>
8.1	Guidance on the application of the risk acceptance principles .....	67
8.1.1	Application of risk acceptance principles .....	67
8.1.2	The ALARP principle .....	68
8.1.3	The GAMAB (GAME) principle .....	69
8.1.4	Minimum Endogenous Mortality (MEM) safety principle (EN 50126-1, Clause D.3) .....	70
<b>9</b>	<b>Guidance on the essentials for documented evidence or proof of safety (Safety case) .....</b>	<b>71</b>
9.1	Introduction .....	71
9.2	Safety case purpose .....	72
9.3	Safety case scope .....	72
9.4	Safety case levels .....	72
9.5	Safety case phases .....	74
9.6	Safety case structure .....	75
9.7	Safety assessment .....	78
9.7.1	The scope of the safety assessor .....	78
9.7.2	The independence of a safety assessor .....	78
9.7.3	Competence of the safety assessor .....	79
9.8	Interfacing with existing systems .....	79
9.8.1	Systems developed according to the EN 50126-1 process .....	79
9.8.2	System proven in use .....	79
9.8.3	Unproven systems .....	80

9.9	Criteria for cross acceptance of systems .....	80
9.9.1	The basic premise .....	80
9.9.2	The framework .....	81
<b>Annex A</b>	<b>(informative) Steps of risk assessment process .....</b>	<b>82</b>
A.1	System definition .....	82
A.2	Hazard identification .....	83
A.2.1	Empirical hazard identification .....	83
A.2.2	Creative hazard identification .....	83
A.2.3	Foreseeable accident identification .....	83
A.2.4	Hazards .....	84
A.3	Hazard log .....	86
A.4	Consequence analysis .....	87
A.5	Hazard control .....	87
A.6	Risk ranking .....	88
A.6.1	Qualitative ranking .....	89
A.6.2	Semi-quantitative ranking approach .....	89
<b>Annex B</b>	<b>(informative) Railway system level HAZARDS - Check lists .....</b>	<b>92</b>
B.1	General .....	92
B.2	Example of hazard grouping according to affected persons .....	94
B.2.1	“C-hazards” – Neighbours group .....	94
B.2.2	“C-hazards” - Passengers group .....	95
B.2.3	“C-hazards” - Workers group .....	96
B.3	Example of functional based hazard grouping .....	96
<b>Annex C</b>	<b>(informative) Approaches for classification of risk categories .....</b>	<b>99</b>
C.1	Functional breakdown approach (a) .....	99
C.2	Installation (constituent) based breakdown approach (b) .....	99
C.3	Hazard based breakdown approach (c) .....	100
C.4	Hazard causes based breakdown approach (d) .....	101
C.5	Breakdown by types of accidents (e) .....	102
<b>Annex D</b>	<b>(informative) An illustrative railway system risk model developed for railways in UK .....</b>	<b>103</b>
D.1	Building a risk model .....	103
D.2	Illustrative example of a risk model for UK railways .....	104
D.2.1	Modelling technology .....	104
D.2.2	Usage and constraints .....	105
D.2.3	Model forecasts .....	105
<b>Annex E</b>	<b>(informative) Techniques &amp; methods .....</b>	<b>108</b>
E.1	General .....	108
E.2	Rapid ranking analysis .....	109
E.3	Structured What-if analysis .....	109
E.4	HAZOP .....	110
E.5	State transition diagrams .....	110
E.6	Message Sequence Diagrams .....	111
E.7	Failure Mode Effects and Criticality Analysis - FMECA .....	112
E.8	Event tree analysis .....	112

E.9	Fault tree analysis .....	113
E.10	Risk graph method .....	114
E.11	Other analysis techniques .....	115
E.11.1	Formal methods analysis .....	115
E.11.2	Markov analysis .....	115
E.11.3	Petri networks .....	115
E.11.4	Cause consequence diagrams .....	115
E.12	Guidance on deterministic and probabilistic methods .....	115
E.12.1	Deterministic methods and approach .....	115
E.12.2	Probabilistic methods and approach .....	116
E.13	Selection of tools & methods .....	117
<b>Annex F</b>	<b>(informative) Diagrammatic illustration of availability concept .....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Annex G</b>	<b>(informative) Examples of setting risk acceptance criteria .....</b>	<b>120</b>
G.1	Example of ALARP application .....	120
G.2	Copenhagen Metro .....	123
<b>Annex H</b>	<b>(informative) Examples of safety case outlines .....</b>	<b>124</b>
H.1	Rolling stock .....	124
H.2	Signalling .....	126
H.3	Infrastructure .....	128
<b>Bibliography</b>	.....	<b>131</b>

## Figures

Figure 1	– Nested systems and hierarchy .....	18
Figure 2	– Definition of hazards with respect to a system boundary and likely accident .....	20
Figure 3	– Sequence of occurrence of accident, hazard and cause .....	21
Figure 4	– Risk assessment flow chart .....	25
Figure 5	– Hazard control flow chart .....	26
Figure 6	– Safety allocation process .....	39
Figure 7	– Factors influencing SI .....	41
Figure 8	– Process for defining a code of practice for the control of random failures .....	48
Figure 9	– Process for defining a code of practise for the control of systematic faults .....	49
Figure 10	– Differential risk aversion .....	71
Figure 11	– Safety case levels .....	73
Figure A.1	– Risk ranking for events with potential for significantly different outcomes .....	91
Figure D.1	– Illustrative annual safety forecasts generated by an integrated risk model .....	106
Figure D.2	– Illustrative individual risk forecasts generated by an integrated risk model .....	107
Figure E.1	– State transition diagram – Example .....	111
Figure E.2	– Example of message collaboration diagram .....	111
Figure E.3	– Example of consequence analysis using event tree .....	113
Figure E.4	– Fault tree analysis – Example .....	114
Figure F.1	– Availability concept and related terms .....	119
Figure G.1	– Risk areas and risk reducing measures .....	121
Figure G.2	– ALARP results of options 1 to 4 .....	123

## Tables

Table 1 – Cross-reference between certain life cycle phase activities and clauses of the report.....	10
Table 2 – Clauses of the report covering scope issues .....	10
Table 3 – Comparison of terms (duty holders) .....	13
Table 4 – Structured approach to allocation of SI (refer to 6.4.2.2) .....	43
Table 5 – THR/SIL relationship .....	46
Table 6 – Possible states of a fail safe system .....	53
Table 7 – Approaches for system safety demonstration .....	56
Table 8 – Criteria for each of the risk acceptance principles .....	67
Table 9 – List of EN 50129 clauses and their applicability for documented evidence to systems other than signalling .....	75
Table A.1 – Example of frequency ranking scheme.....	89
Table A.2 – Example of consequence ranking scheme .....	90
Table A.3 – Risk ranking matrix.....	90
Table B.1 – Railway neighbour “c-hazards” .....	94
Table B.2 – List railway passenger “c-hazards” .....	95
Table B.3 – List of railway worker “c-hazards” .....	96
Table B.4 – System level hazard list based on functional approach.....	97
Table D.1 – Sample parametric data for a risk forecasting model .....	105
Table E.1 – Failure and hazard analysis methods .....	108
Table E.2 – Example of a hazard-ranking matrix .....	109
Table E.3 – Hazop guide words .....	110
Table G.1 – Upper and lower ALARP limits .....	123

## **Introduction**

EN 50126-1 was developed in CENELEC under a mode 4 co-operation with CEN and is now regularly called up in specifications. In essence, it lists factors that influence RAMS and adopts a broad risk-management approach to safety. The standard also gives examples of some risk acceptance principles and defines a comprehensive set of tasks for the different phases of a generic life cycle for a total rail system.

Use of EN 50126-1 has enhanced the general understanding of the issues involved in dealing with safety and in achieving RAMS characteristics within the railway field. However, a number of issues have arisen that suggest that there are differences in the way that safety principles and/or requirements of this standard are being interpreted and/or applied to a railway system and its sub-systems.

Therefore, the guidelines included are to remove such differences and to enable a coherent and pragmatic approach, within Europe, for setting safety targets, assessing risks and generally dealing with safety issues. The report is not intended to set any specific safety targets (which will remain the responsibility of the relevant regulatory authorities) but only to provide guidance on different methods that can be used for setting targets, assessing risks, deriving safety requirements, demonstrating satisfactory safety levels, etc., with examples, where appropriate. The responsibility for accepting the methods to be used and for setting targets remains with the Railway Authority (RA) in conjunction with the Safety Regulatory Authority (SRA).

Furthermore the introduction of the proposed safety directive (European Directive on the development of safety on the Community's railways through development of common safety targets and common safety methods) should lead to a common safety regulatory regime within Europe. Such a regime will require that there is a common European approach to the methods for setting safety targets and for assessing risks.

The Technical Report is intended to cover the full spectrum of railway systems and for use by all the different user groups of the standard EN 50126-1. User groups may be part of any of the different players (bodies/entities) involved during the life cycle phases of a system, from its conception to disposal.

However, this Technical Report deals with only those items covered by the standard EN 50126-1 that are identified by the scope of work and with clarification of areas where EN 50126-1 could be misinterpreted. Clauses in the report are structured to cover clarifications of definitions and concepts and then to reflect the items in the scope and in order of the risk assessment process. But the contents are limited to include guidance and explanations for only those items that were remitted by resolution 26/5 of TC 9X and any related issues.

## 1 Scope

**1.1** This Technical Report provides guidance on specific issues, listed under 1.3 below, for applying the safety process requirements in EN 50126-1 to a railway system and for dealing with the safety activities during the different system life cycle phases. The guidance is applicable to all systems covered within the scope of EN 50126-1. It assumes that the users of the report are familiar with safety matters but need guidance on the application of EN 50126-1 for safety issues that are not or could not be addressed in the standard in detail.

**1.2** EN 50126-1 is the top-level basic RAMS standard. This application guide, CLC/TR 50126-2 forms an informative part of EN 50126-1 dealing explicitly with safety aspects as limited by the scope defined in 1.3 below.

### 1.3 Limitation of scope

The scope is limited to providing guidance only for the following issues related to EN 50126-1.

- i) Production of a top-level generic risk model for the railway system down to its major constituents (e.g., signalling, rolling stock, infrastructure, etc.) with definition of the constituents of the model and their interactions.
- ii) Development of a checklist of common functional hazards within a conventional railway system (including high speed lines, Light Rail Train's, metro's, etc.).
- iii) Guidance on the application of the risk acceptance principles in EN 50126-1.
- iv) Guidance on the application of functional safety in railway systems and qualitative assessment of tolerable risk with examples.
- v) Guidance for specifying relevant functional safety requirements and apportionment of safety targets to the requirements for sub-systems (e.g. for rolling stock: door systems, brake systems, etc.).
- vi) Guidance on the application of safety integrity level concept, through all the life cycle phases of the system.
- vii) Guidance on methods for combining probabilistic and deterministic means for safety demonstration.
- viii) Guidance on the essentials (incl. maintenance, operation, etc.) for documented evidence or proof of safety (safety case) with proposals for a common structure for such documentation.

**1.4** A diagrammatic representation of the scope and limitations of the scope cross linking with the safety activities within the life cycle phases of EN 50126-1 and the roles/responsibilities of the principal players is given in Table 1 below. However, for full comprehension it is suggested that these clauses are considered only after the whole document has been read:

This is a free preview. Purchase the entire publication at the link below:

[Product Page](#)

- 
- Looking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore
  - Learn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation
-