This is a free page sample. Access the full version online.



Standard Recommendation S.R. CEN/TR 16013-1:2010

Workplace exposure - Guide for the use of direct-reading instruments for aerosol monitoring - Part 1: Choice of monitor for specific applications

© NSAI 2010 No copying without NSAI permission except as permitted by copyright law.

Incorporating amendments/corrigenda/National Annexes issued since publication:

The National Standards Authority of Ireland (NSAI) produces the following categories of formal documents:

I.S. xxx: Irish Standard – national specification based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

S.R. xxx: Standard Recommendation - recommendation based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

SWIFT xxx: A rapidly developed recommendatory document based on the consensus of the participants of an NSAI workshop.

This document replaces:	<i>This document is ba</i> CEN/TR 16013-1:20		<i>Publist</i> 26 May		
This document was published under the authority of the NSAI and comes into effect on: 10 June, 2010				ICS number: 13.040.30	
Northwood, Santry F + Dublin 9 E s	353 1 807 3800 353 1 807 3838 tandards@nsai.ie NSAI.ie		57 6729		
Údarás um Chaighdeáin Náisiúnta na hÉireann					

TECHNICAL REPORT

CEN/TR 16013-1

RAPPORT TECHNIQUE

TECHNISCHER BERICHT

May 2010

ICS 13.040.30

English Version

Workplace exposure - Guide for the use of direct-reading instruments for aerosol monitoring - Part 1: Choice of monitor for specific applications

Exposition au poste de travail - Guide d'utilisation des instruments à lecture directe pour la surveillance des aérosols - Partie 1: Choix du moniteur pour des applications spécifiques Exposition am Arbeitsplatz - Leitfaden für die Anwendung direkt anzeigender Geräte zur Überwachung von Aerosolen - Teil 1: Auswahl des Monitors für besondere Anwendungsfälle

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 13 March 2010. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 137.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

© 2010 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members.

Ref. No. CEN/TR 16013-1:2010: E

CEN/TR 16013-1:2010 (E)

Contents

Forewo	ord	3
Introdu	iction	4
1	Scope	6
2	Abbreviations	6
3 3.1	Principles of direct-reading aerosol monitoring methods General	
3.2 3.2.1	Vibrational mass methods Piezoelectric mass monitors	
3.2.2 3.3	TEOM – Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance Beta mass monitors	
3.3.1 3.3.2	Operating principle Determination of mass concentration of health-related fractions	12
3.3.3 3.3.4	Calibration of beta mass monitors Advantages and disadvantages	14
3.3.5 3.4	Currently available beta mass monitors Methods of optical measurement of aerosols	15
3.4.1 3.4.2	General Photometers	16
3.4.3	Optical particle counters	20
4 4.1 4.2	Requirements for different applications of direct-reading dust monitors General Walk through surveys	23
4.3 4.4	Identification of main process or source emitting aerosols Use with video visual techniques	23 23
4.5 4.6	Assessing efficiency of control systems	24
4.7 Bibliog	Surrogate personal exposure assessment raphy	

Foreword

This document (CEN/TR 16013-1:2010) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 137 "Assessment of workplace exposure to chemical and biological agents", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

CEN/TR 16013, *Workplace exposure* — *Guide for the use of direct-reading instruments for aerosol monitoring*, consists of the following parts:

- Part 1: Choice of monitor for specific applications
- Part 2: Evaluation of airborne particle concentrations using Optical Particle Counters
- Part 3: Evaluation of airborne particle concentrations using photometers (in preparation)

Introduction

The assessment of aerosols in the workplace can have several aims, including:

- a) estimation of the mean concentration of health-related aerosol particles (see EN 481) during a working shift period (workplace characteristics or personal exposure by static or personal sampling);
- b) sampling to provide a sample of airborne particles for later analysis (gravimetric, morphological, chemical, physical, mineralogical, etc., see EN 482);
- c) evaluation of almost instantaneous concentrations produced by various work activities using automatic instruments (photometers, β -attenuation instruments, vibrational mass balance instruments);
- d) evaluation of almost instantaneous concentrations and particle size distributions (optical particle counters OPC).

This Technical Report concerns items c) and d), gives the principles, and details the general conditions to be satisfied. In occupational hygiene, no measurement procedure recommends exposure monitoring using direct-reading aerosol monitors. These instruments should instead be considered as permitting a complementary approach to the conventional filter-based gravimetric method. The different types of information obtained are explained in Figure 1.



X sample number (time) Y concentration (arb units)

Figure 1 — Information from integrated filter sampling vs. continuous monitoring

There is a wide range of portable and personal direct-reading aerosol monitors available.

Recent advances in modern electronics and battery technology means direct-reading dust monitors are becoming smaller and lighter and of relatively low price. In addition to reliance on compliance with Occupational Exposure Limits, emphasis is now also being placed on control banding and advice on suitable control systems. This has led to new roles being identified for direct-reading aerosol monitors in ensuring that systems deployed to control exposure to airborne dusts actually work. Some types of direct-reading aerosol monitors appear to be well suited to evaluate prevention action efficiency and to space- and time-related monitoring of concentration.

All instruments mentioned in this document (see, in particular, Tables 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10) are examples of suitable products available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this Technical Report only and does not constitute an endorsement by CEN of these products.

1 Scope

This Technical Report describes the principles underlying the evaluation of one or more aerosol fractions using direct-reading aerosol monitors. The currently available methods for monitoring levels of aerosols in workplaces for a range of different purposes are described and details are given of their limits and possibilities in the field of occupational hygiene.

The document does not cover the sampling of aerosols for compliance with occupational exposure limits or the collection of aerosol particles for subsequent analysis.

2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the following abbreviations apply.

- DRAM direct-reading aerosol monitor
- LOD limit of detection
- OEL occupational exposure limit
- OPC optical particle counter
- PM particulate matter
- TEOM tapered element oscillating microbalance
- TSP total suspended particulate

3 Principles of direct-reading aerosol monitoring methods

3.1 General

There are many methods, based on different physical principles, for the instantaneous measurement of aerosols. Instruments used are generally called direct-reading or continuous monitoring instruments. Depending on their design, they can give the instantaneous or sequential concentration and can sometimes even measure particle size distribution.

Instantaneous measurement has several advantages:

- a) immediate knowledge of the result without going through the laboratory, whence the possibility of rapid intervention (e.g. implementation of a ventilation system);
- b) continuous measurement, long-distance surveillance, concentration record over time, mean concentration integration and calculation in selected periods, maxima and minima determination, source location, etc.;
- c) measurement of concentration for particles of unstable composition (e.g. volatile substances);
- d) monitoring and control of aerosol concentration.

Depending on the principles used, automatic methods can be classed into the following three main groups:

- vibrational mass method (see 3.2);
- beta attenuation method (see 3.3);
- optical methods (see 3.4).



This is a free preview. Purchase the entire publication at the link below:

Product Page

S Looking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore

> Learn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation