



NSAI
Standards

Standard Recommendation
S.R. CLC/TR 50555:2010

Interruption indexes

S.R. CLC/TR 50555:2010

Incorporating amendments/corrigenda issued since publication:
CLC/TR 50555:2010/AC:2011

The National Standards Authority of Ireland (NSAI) produces the following categories of formal documents:

I.S. xxx: Irish Standard – national specification based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

S.R. xxx: Standard Recommendation - recommendation based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

SWiFT xxx: A rapidly developed recommendatory document based on the consensus of the participants of an NSAI workshop.

<i>This document replaces:</i>	<i>This document is based on:</i> CLC/TR 50555:2010	<i>Published:</i> 14 May, 2010
This document was published under the authority of the NSAI and comes into effect on: 14 June, 2010		ICS number: 27.010 29.240.01
NSAI 1 Swift Square, Northwood, Santry Dublin 9	T +353 1 807 3800 F +353 1 807 3838 E standards@nsai.ie W NSAI.ie	Sales: T +353 1 857 6730 F +353 1 857 6729 W standards.ie
Údarás um Chaighdeáin Náisiúnta na hÉireann		



Corrigendum to CLC/TR 50555:2010
English version

Add the following in the first paragraph in the foreword:

“in cooperation with CEER”.

February 2011

TECHNICAL REPORT

CLC/TR 50555

RAPPORT TECHNIQUE

TECHNISCHER BERICHT

May 2010

ICS 27.010;29.240.01

English version

Interruption indexes

Indicateurs d'interruption

Unterbrechungsindizes

This Technical Report was approved by CENELEC on 2010-05-07.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels

Foreword

This Technical Report was prepared by Task Force 4, (Interruption definitions and continuity indices) of Working Group 1 (Physical characteristics of electrical energy), of Technical Committee CENELEC TC 8X, System aspects of electrical energy supply.

It was circulated for voting in accordance with the Internal Regulations, Part 2, Subclause 11.4.3.3 (simple majority).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN and CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Contents

1	Introduction and scope	5
1.1	Introduction	5
1.2	Scope	6
1.3	Continuity indices – Needs and applications	6
2	Terms and definitions	8
3	Continuity of supply – Interruptions	10
3.1	Background information on interruptions	10
3.2	Interruptions as defined by EN 50160.....	10
3.3	Interruption sources	11
3.4	Classification of the interruptions	12
4	Explanation of continuity	14
4.1	Long interruption continuity indices.....	15
4.2	Short interruption continuity indices	18
4.3	Worst Served Customers	19
4.4	Practices for recording short interruptions	19
4.5	Rules for recording long interruptions	20
4.6	Interruption indices in use across Europe.....	24
5	Recommended continuity indices	29
5.1	Philosophy and criteria for recommending indices	29
5.2	Recommended continuity indices	29
6	Recommended data collection and aggregation	30
6.1	General	30
6.2	Parameter uncertainty impact on continuity indices	31
6.3	Classification of reported indices	32
6.4	Example of continuity indices estimation	32
7	Information helpful when comparing continuity indices	34
7.1	Important differences between countries and a single recommendation	34
7.2	Observation period for continuity indices	35
8	Trends, new and future developments	36
8.1	Development / improvement of new tools (technology).....	36
8.2	Recording data considerations	36
8.3	Development in telecommunication systems.....	36
8.4	Smart grids and metering aspects	37
8.5	Simulation techniques.....	37
8.6	Standardization considerations.....	37
8.7	Particular incidence in LV networks.....	37
8.8	Cause and effect considerations.....	37
	Bibliography	38

Figures

Figure 1 – Network operator organizational levels and stakeholders	7
Figure 2 – Short interruption within a long interruption	22
Figure 3 – Short interruption after a long interruption	23
Figure 4 – Two consecutive short interruptions.....	24
Figure 5 – Interruption scenarios.....	33
Figure 6 – Risk performance versus risk exposure.....	35

Tables

Table 1 – Indices for quantifying long interruptions used in European countries	25
Table 2 – Monitoring and indices for short and transient interruptions in European countries	28
Table 3 – System characteristics recommended	35

1 Introduction and scope

1.1 Introduction

As a result of the liberalization of electricity markets, System Operators are being increasingly encouraged to report the performance of their electric power systems to other parties, in particular the network users and the national regulation authorities (NRA). While in the past, quality of supply was generally considered as an implicit duty on System Operators, today quality objectives have become more and more definite objectives agreed with the Regulator and/or part of the contracts negotiated with the Network Users. Indeed a number of European Regulators have already defined, or planned to define, quality of supply ¹⁾ objectives (addressing continuity of supply and/or voltage quality) to be met by electric distribution systems. In some countries, quality of supply objectives form part of the incentive-based regulation.

Quality of supply limits can be seen as the outer envelope of performance for each quality of supply parameter. Specific continuity of supply Indices are established by particular Regulators in order to facilitate benchmarking the performance of the System Operators under their jurisdiction. The indices allow System Operators to meet their obligation to routinely report continuity of supply performance. It is important that the objectives are seen not only as achievable but also as being cost effective considering the needs of all the network users.

As customers expect a high continuity of supply for a reasonable price, one of the roles of a System Operator is to optimise the continuity performance of the electric system in a cost effective manner; the role of the Regulator being to ensure that this is carried out in a correct way taking into account the customers' expectations and their willingness to pay. It needs to be recognized that historically the electrical systems in different countries have been designed in different ways based on different technological choices, commercial approaches or climatic conditions.

There is a great variety of reliability indices used within the different European countries. Each country has its own indices, some are system orientated and others are customer oriented. Some countries measure separately the frequency and the duration of interruptions, others combine them into a single value. In addition, not all the countries use the same definitions for interruptions and their classification. For all of these reasons it is currently very difficult to compare the continuity of supply indices between countries.

1) Quality of electricity supply is a collective effect of all aspects of performance in the electricity supply. The quality of the electricity supply includes as a prerequisite reliability of the electric power system, power quality and customer relationships. For the purpose of this Technical Report the term continuity of supply is used for the availability of the electricity.

1.2 Scope

This Technical Report provides guidance on how to calculate continuity of supply indices. These recommended indices are more particularly given for European benchmarking of distribution network performance. For transmission network performance, more representative indices ²⁾ may be used. It presents

- an overview of practices in Europe on long and short interruptions,
- definition of physical interruptions in a harmonized way,
- philosophy and criteria for recommending indices,
- a suggested common approach to continuity indices.

The fact that the networks in different parts of any particular country will be subject to different conditions (e.g. weather and customer density) mean that it is not viable to apply common performance standards to all networks within any one country or any group of countries without making these targets so weak that there is a good prospect of them being achieved in all areas. The present situation where national regulators set performance targets within their own countries is widely regarded as being the most effective mechanism for achieving optimal socio-economic performance. For these reasons this Technical Report does not provide common targets for the number and duration of interruptions that should not be exceeded.

This Technical Report is designed to be a first step towards benchmarking the interruption performance of European countries. Rules on the aggregation of interruptions, in particular short interruptions, have not been considered in this Technical Report, however it is recognised that it might be necessary to describe aggregation rules in a second version of the Technical Report.

1.3 Continuity indices – Needs and applications

Performance indices in general are important tools in decision making for transmission and distribution system asset management. Such indices can be used to translate issues, which might be rather vaguely expressed, into formalized parameters to be used in decision-making. As the reliability of the power system is a key element in power system management, continuity indices are useful to translate objectives such as

- to maximize power system reliability and
- to provide our customers with a supply that has the minimum number of interruptions.

into more formalized objectives and targets aimed to support asset management and stakeholder communication.

In power system asset management, decisions must be taken at different organizational levels within companies. Figure 1 illustrates the main decision levels as well as the most important stakeholders that may influence decisions at different levels.

2) For example, "Average Interruption Time" is commonly used by TSO ($AIT = T \times ENS / E_T$).

This is a free preview. Purchase the entire publication at the link below:

[Product Page](#)

-
- [Looking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore](#)
 - [Learn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation](#)
-