



NSAI
Standards

Irish Standard Recommendation
S.R. CEN/TR 16706:2014

Postal Services - Quality of Service - Measurement of incorrect delivery - Feasibility Report

S.R. CEN/TR 16706:2014

Incorporating amendments/corrigenda/National Annexes issued since publication:

The National Standards Authority of Ireland (NSAI) produces the following categories of formal documents:

I.S. xxx: Irish Standard — national specification based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

S.R. xxx: Standard Recommendation — recommendation based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

SWiFT xxx: A rapidly developed recommendatory document based on the consensus of the participants of an NSAI workshop.

This document replaces/revises/consolidates the NSAI adoption of the document(s) indicated on the CEN/CENELEC cover/Foreword and the following National document(s):

NOTE: The date of any NSAI previous adoption may not match the date of its original CEN/CENELEC document.

This document is based on:

CEN/TR 16706:2014

Published:

2014-07-23

*This document was published
under the authority of the NSAI
and comes into effect on:*

2014-08-09

ICS number:

03.240

NOTE: If blank see CEN/CENELEC cover page

NSAI
1 Swift Square,
Northwood, Santry
Dublin 9

T +353 1 807 3800
F +353 1 807 3838
E standards@nsai.ie
W NSAI.ie

Sales:
T +353 1 857 6730
F +353 1 857 6729
W standards.ie

Údarás um Chaighdeáin Náisiúnta na hÉireann

TECHNICAL REPORT

CEN/TR 16706

RAPPORT TECHNIQUE

TECHNISCHER BERICHT

July 2014

ICS 03.240

English Version

Postal Services - Quality of Service - Measurement of incorrect delivery - Feasibility Report

Services postaux - Qualité de service - Mesure de la
livraison erronée - Rapport de faisabilité

Messung fehlerhafter Zustellung - Machbarkeitsstudie

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 7 June 2014. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 331.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	3
1 Scope	4
2 Normative References	4
3 Summary of Feasibility Study	4
4 Feasibility Study	5
4.1 Introduction	5
4.2 Clarification of ToR and Rationale from the Project	6
4.3 Set up our Working Plan according the ToR and Rationale	7
4.4 Results of previous research about measurement incorrect delivery	8
4.5 Some initial definitions about basic terms and process	9
4.5.1 Introduction	9
4.5.2 What is incorrect delivery?	9
4.5.3 What is delivery of registered postal item?	9
4.5.4 Who is the recipient (addressee)?	10
4.5.5 Who can be an authorized person?	10
4.5.6 What is the role (and influence) of address and addressing on correct delivery?	10
4.5.7 How should one correct process of delivery look like?	10
4.6 Main issues which arise from our research	12
4.6.1 Introduction	12
4.6.2 Technical view.....	12
4.6.3 Legal view	12
4.6.4 Economic view	13
4.7 Conclusion	13
4.7.1 General.....	13
4.7.2 Merging PT-E and PT-F	13
4.7.3 Recommendations for future research.....	14
4.8 Literature	14
Annex A (informative) Measurement of wrong delivery and correct notification	16
A.1 Background	16
A.2 Project features	16

Foreword

This document (CEN/TR 16706:2014) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 331 “Postal services”, the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

CEN/TR 16706:2014 (E)**1 Scope**

This Technical Report provides the results of a feasibility study to determine whether a European Standard for the measurement of incorrect delivery could be developed. CEN/TC331 decided a European Standard was not feasible but that the results should be kept and the report transferred into this Technical Report.

NOTE 1 At the end of 2011, TC/331/WG1 established Project Team F to research the measurement of incorrect delivery in accordance with the tender “RENEWED open call for project team experts for the execution of the work called for in the grant agreement SA/CEN/ENTR/EFTA/428/2009-06 Postal Services - Elaboration and adoption of standards documents in the EU and EFTA”. The Working Plan of PT F was approved at the plenary meeting of CEN/TC 331 in December 2011.

NOTE 2 According to the Working plan, PT-F presented to the TC/331/WG1 a first report at the meeting in Belgrade in March 2012. PT-F expressed the opinion that the development of a standard was not feasible and that they suspected that a standardization document would not produce the expected results, that is a reduction in the number of incorrectly delivered postal items. PT-F highlighted that such a measurement system had no capability to recognize and record when a real event occurred (only when the sender and/or the receiver submitted a complaint), and therefore it will be unreliable. PT-F also mentioned the difficulty in finding existing and feasible measurement methods which would reliably measure such rare events.

NOTE 3 In an open discussion with WG1 members at the March 2012 meeting, PT-F also mentioned a previous Feasibility Study and other research which came to the same conclusion that such a measurement is not feasible. PT-F and WG1 proposed to TC331 to adopt the feasibility study on “Measurement of incorrect delivery” at the Plenary Meeting in Ljubljana in May 2012.

2 Normative References

None.

3 Summary of Feasibility Study

The task has been to produce a feasibility study for measurement of incorrect delivery. PT-F focused on the following two issues:

- how to find an appropriate measurement system and a measurement method; and
- how to find a unambiguous and clear definition for incorrect delivery which will be unanimously accepted.

An appropriate measurement system is very difficult to establish as it shall be able to recognize incorrect deliveries in amongst mail that has been correctly delivered. Use of customer complaint data would not provide a reliable estimate as the intended recipient may not be aware an item has been incorrectly delivered.

A method by which it would be feasible to measure the number of incorrect deliveries is almost impossible to define, because these are rare events. Some indicators suggest that incorrect delivery occurs once in 100000, or more, correct deliveries (according to available data from EN 14012). Although a number of approaches were discussed, with those which are currently used in the postal measurements (test mail and real mail); telephone studies, field studies and others in social research, PT-F concluded that there is no one feasible method to measure such rare events.

The definition of incorrect delivery is directly related to any deviation from the correct delivery. Because the definitions and procedures for correct delivery vary by country PT-F was faced with many differences when they tried to propose a common definition for the term “incorrect delivery”.

Incorrect delivery may have two aspects: delivery to an unauthorized person, which is usually regulated by national postal legislation, and the improper procedure of confirmation, which postal operators usually define with product and service manuals. Who can be the authorized person is difficult to define and may differ for every country due to different legal systems and numerous national legislations. A further complication is that

This is a free preview. Purchase the entire publication at the link below:

[Product Page](#)

-
- Looking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore
 - Learn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation
-