



NSAI
Standards

Irish Standard Recommendation
S.R. CWA 16762:2014

CEN Workshop Agreement - CEN/WS SERES - ICT Standards in Support of an eReporting Framework for the Engineering Materials Sector

S.R. CWA 16762:2014

Incorporating amendments/corrigenda/National Annexes issued since publication:

The National Standards Authority of Ireland (NSAI) produces the following categories of formal documents:

I.S. xxx: Irish Standard — national specification based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

S.R. xxx: Standard Recommendation — recommendation based on the consensus of an expert panel and subject to public consultation.

SWiFT xxx: A rapidly developed recommendatory document based on the consensus of the participants of an NSAI workshop.

This document replaces/revises/consolidates the NSAI adoption of the document(s) indicated on the CEN/CENELEC cover/Foreword and the following National document(s):

NOTE: The date of any NSAI previous adoption may not match the date of its original CEN/CENELEC document.

This document is based on:

CWA 16762:2014

Published:

2014-05-28

This document was published under the authority of the NSAI and comes into effect on:

2014-07-01

ICS number:

35.240.50

NOTE: If blank see CEN/CENELEC cover page

NSAI
1 Swift Square,
Northwood, Santry
Dublin 9

T +353 1 807 3800
F +353 1 807 3838
E standards@nsai.ie
W NSAI.ie

Sales:
T +353 1 857 6730
F +353 1 857 6729
W standards.ie

Údarás um Chaighdeáin Náisiúnta na hÉireann



Correction Notice

Reference: CWA 16762:2014

Title: CEN Workshop Agreement - CEN/WS SERES - ICT Standards in Support of an eReporting Framework for the Engineering Materials Sector

Work Item: WSSER001

Brussels, 2014-06-25

with reference to the above, please include the following minor editorial correction(s) in the document related to:

the following language version(s) :

- English
- French
- German

for the following procedure :

- PQ/UQ
- Enquiry
- 2nd Enquiry
- Parallel Enquiry
- 2nd Parallel Enquiry
- Formal Vote
- 2nd Formal Vote
- Parallel Formal Vote
- 2nd Parallel Formal Vote
- UAP
- TC Approval
- 2nd TC Approval
- Publication
- Parallel Publication

It has been brought to our attention that this document, issued on 2014-05-28, requires modification.

Figure 1 has been replaced.

Please find enclosed the updated English version.

We apologise for any inconvenience this may cause.

This page is intentionally left BLANK.

CEN

CWA 16762

WORKSHOP

May 2014

AGREEMENT

ICS 35.240.50

English version

CEN Workshop Agreement - CEN/WS SERES - ICT Standards in Support of an eReporting Framework for the Engineering Materials Sector

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents

	Page
Foreword.....	8
0 Introduction	10
0.1 The Case for Technologies for Engineering Materials Data.....	10
0.2 Harmonization of Existing Technologies for Engineering Materials Data.....	12
0.3 Business, Standardization, and Technological Perspectives.....	12
0.3.1 Profile of the technical experts	12
1 Scope	14
2 Normative References	14
3 Business Analysis	14
3.1 Objectives and Methodology	14
3.2 Background	14
3.2.1 Introduction	14
3.2.2 Electronic reporting practices in the engineering sector.....	15
3.2.3 Electronic business specifications for engineering materials reporting.....	16
3.2.4 Audit trail	18
3.2.5 Product data chain.....	20
3.3 Case Studies	21
3.3.1 Aerospace sector.....	21
3.3.2 Mechanical engineering sector	23
3.4 GKN Aerospace Case Study (Aerospace Sector).....	23
3.4.1 Presentation of the company	23
3.4.2 Exchange of certificates current state.....	24
3.4.3 Test types and standards	24
3.4.4 Process analysis.....	25
3.4.5 Data requirements	28
3.4.6 Reasons for a transition to electronic exchange	31
3.4.7 Risk assessment.....	31
3.5 Eurocopter Case Study (Aerospace Sector).....	32
3.5.1 Exchange of certificates current state.....	32
3.5.2 Test types and standards	33
3.5.3 Process analysis.....	34
3.5.4 Data requirements	37
3.5.5 Reasons for a transition to electronic exchange	44
3.5.6 Risk assessment.....	44
3.6 Alstom Power Case Study (Mechanical Engineering Sector)	44
3.6.1 Presentation of the company	44
3.6.2 Exchange of certificates current state.....	45
3.6.3 Test types and standards	45
3.6.4 Process analysis.....	45
3.6.5 Data requirements	47
3.6.6 Reasons for a transition to electronic exchange	52
3.6.7 Risk assessment.....	53
3.7 Systems Integration.....	53
3.7.1 Introduction	53
3.7.2 Objectives.....	54
3.7.3 Limitations	54
3.7.4 Implementation	55
3.8 Conclusions and Recommendations.....	56
4 Standardisation and Governance	57

4.1	Objectives and Methodology.....	57
4.2	Background.....	57
4.2.1	Introduction.....	57
4.2.2	Organisations, committees and standards concerned with engineering materials.....	57
4.2.3	Standards concerned with reporting engineering materials data.....	59
4.2.4	Documents concerned with vocabulary and terminology.....	60
4.3	Consultation with Standards Setting Organizations, Fora, and Consortia.....	62
4.4	Outcomes.....	62
4.4.1	Hosting roadmap.....	62
4.4.2	Stakeholder engagement.....	65
4.4.3	Best practices for developing ICT standards for engineering materials data.....	66
4.5	Discussion.....	67
4.5.1	Stakeholder response to ICT standards for engineering materials data.....	67
4.5.2	ISO and CEN materials technical committees.....	67
4.5.3	UN/CEFACT.....	68
4.5.4	Governance.....	69
4.6	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	71
4.6.1	Engineering materials standards.....	71
4.6.2	Engagement with the engineering materials community.....	71
4.6.3	Engagement with UN/CEFACT.....	72
5	Technologies.....	72
5.1	Technology Review.....	72
5.1.1	ASTM Committee E49.....	72
5.1.2	Analysing the E49 approach.....	73
5.1.3	ISO TC 184/SC4.....	77
5.1.4	MatML and NIST.....	81
5.1.5	MatDB and JRC.....	81
5.1.6	Harmonization of the reviewed technologies.....	83
5.1.7	A harmonized high-level model.....	84
5.2	Modelling.....	85
5.2.1	Introduction.....	85
5.2.2	Natural language description of an engineering material.....	86
5.2.3	Written Specification.....	87
5.2.4	Technical Dictionary, Terms and Definitions.....	88
5.2.5	RDF Diagrams and Conceptual Models.....	92
5.2.6	Ontology.....	97
5.2.7	Schema.....	100
5.3	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	100
5.3.1	A harmonized model for engineering materials data.....	100
5.3.2	Assign URIs to the entries in engineering materials vocabulary standards.....	100
5.3.3	Modularize the test data technologies.....	100
5.3.4	Validation and adoption.....	100
6	Conclusions.....	101
6.1	Business.....	101
6.2	Standardization and Governance.....	101
6.3	Technologies.....	102
7	Recommendations.....	102
7.1	Business.....	102
7.2	Standardization and Governance.....	102
7.3	Technologies.....	102
Annex A	104
A.1	Example of Sharing and Exchanging Materials Data.....	104
A.2	Materials Standards Committees.....	105
A.3	Details of Potential Hosting Organizations.....	108
A.3.1	ISO TC 154 Processes, data elements and documents in commerce, industry and administration.....	108
A.3.2	ISO TC 184/SC 4 Industrial data.....	108

CWA 16762:2014 (E)

A.3.3	OASIS	109
A.3.4	CODATA.....	109
A.3.5	VAMAS	110
A.3.6	Exploration and Production Information Management Association (EPIM).....	110
A.3.7	ECISS	111
A.3.8	National Materials Standards Committees.....	111
A.3.9	ISO TC 164 Mechanical Testing of Metals	112
A.4	EN 10204 and EN 10168	113
A.4.1	EN 10204 metallic materials — types of inspection documents.....	113
A.4.2	EN 10168	114
A.5	Use of the Term 'class'	116
A.5.1	Introduction	116
A.5.2	Formal information modelling use of the term “class”	116
A.5.3	Class and individual	116
A.5.4	Classification of class	118
A.6	The ISO 15926 Ontological Approach.....	119
A.6.1	ISO 15926 Terms and Definitions.....	119
A.6.2	What is a specification?	120
A.6.3	Class defined by a physical property	120
A.6.4	Class defined by a relationship.....	121
A.6.5	Intersection of classes	121
A.6.6	Integration of the ASTM Manual and ISO 15926	122
A.7	Engineering Materials Terms and Definitions	123
A.7.1	Introduction	123
A.7.2	High-Level Model	123
A.7.3	Material Type	126
A.7.4	Material Characterization	130
A.7.5	Material Process	131
A.7.6	Material Source	132
A.8	Conceptual Model for a Material	138
A.8.1	Principal classes.....	138
A.8.2	Subclasses	140
A.8.3	Conceptual Model Examples	146
A.9	Establishing a Terminology	155
A.9.1	Objective.....	155
A.9.2	Material Processes and Objects.....	155
A.9.3	Engineering Material in Primary Form.....	156
A.9.4	Material Objects and States	156
A.9.5	Link Between Engineering Material in Primary Form and Engineered Material Product.....	158
A.9.6	Simple UML Diagrams	159
	Bibliography	160

Table of figures

Figure 1 — Electronic data in the engineering materials supply chain	10
Figure 2 — CEN/WS SERES organigram	12
Figure 3 — Engineering product data chain	20
Figure 4 — Aerospace supply chain organisation	22
Figure 5 — GKN case study activity diagram	26
Figure 6 — GKN case study data model	28
Figure 7 — Eurocopter case study activity diagram for qualification report	34
Figure 8 — Eurocopter case study activity diagram for incoming inspection	36
Figure 9 — Eurocopter case study data model for the qualification report	38
Figure 10 — Eurocopter case study data model for the incoming inspection	42
Figure 11 — Alstom Power case study activity diagram	46
Figure 12 — Alstom Power case study data model	48
Figure 13 — Relationships among international and national materials SDOs	58
Figure 14 — Roadmap for hosting CEN/WS SERES deliverables	65
Figure 15 — EIF interoperability levels. Reproduced from COM(2010) 744 final	70
Figure 16 — Graphical representation of the high-level model for engineering materials data	85
Figure 17 — Information included in the written specification	87
Figure 18 — RDF diagram for engineering material type	93
Figure 19 — RDF diagram for engineering material characterization	94
Figure 20 — RDF diagram for engineering material process	95
Figure 21a — RDF diagram for customer order and works order	96
Figure 21b — RDF diagram for works order and manufacture	97
Figure 22 — Parts of the CEN/WS SERES ontology for engineering materials data	98
Figure 23 — Categorization of concepts according to the CEN/WS SERES model	99
Figure 24 — Stainless steel and class of stainless steel	117
Figure 25 — Intersection of classes of material	122

CWA 16762:2014 (E)

Figure 26 — Chemical analysis method	134
Figure 27 — An instance of a chemical analysis	135
Figure 28 — Manufacturing activity.	136
Figure 29 — Purpose and method for an activity.	136
Figure 30 — Principal classes of physical object.....	138
Figure 31 — Principal classes of activity	139
Figure 32 — Some broad classes of material and specifications.....	140
Figure 33 — Classes defined by external shape.....	141
Figure 34 — Some principal classes of information.	141
Figure 35 — Information and Document.....	142
Figure 36 — Classes of activity that are concerned with documents.....	142
Figure 37 — Classes of organization.....	143
Figure 38 — Classes of manufacturing activity	143
Figure 39 — Decomposition	144
Figure 40 — Chemical element	145
Figure 41 — Mass, volume and amount of substance ratio	146
Figure 42 — Activities that create a chemical analysis report.....	147
Figure 43 — Extract sample and analyse activities	147
Figure 44 — Perform analysis and create report.....	148
Figure 45 — Creation of information and document.....	149
Figure 46 — Decomposition into chemical elements	149
Figure 47 — Elements for components	150
Figure 48 — Mass ratios for components.....	150
Figure 49 — Processing, fabrication and use activities.....	151
Figure 50 — Manufacturing activities and states of a batch.....	152
Figure 51 — Use activities and states of a component	153
Figure 52 — Fabrication activities and states of a batch and component.....	154
Figure 53 — Material object and material process	155
Figure 54 — Network of material processes.....	155

Figure 55 — Engineering material in primary form.....	156
Figure 56 — Removing material.....	156
Figure 57 — Adding material.....	157
Figure 58 — Beginning of an identified material object.....	157
Figure 59 — End of an identified material object	157
Figure 60 — Short cut "made from" relationship	158
Figure 61 — Simple UML for material object and material process.....	159
Figure 62 — Simple UML for state of a material object.....	159

List of Tables

Table 1 — Aerospace supply chain organisation.....	21
Table 2 — GKN case study test types and reporting standards	24
Table 3 — GKN case study classes and attributes.....	29
Table 4 — Eurocopter case study test types and reporting standards	33
Table 5 — Eurocopter case study classes used in both incoming inspection and qualification reports	42
Table 6 — Eurocopter case study classes specific to incoming inspection	43
Table 7 — Alstom Power case study classes	49
Table 8 — Structure of ISO TC 37	62
Table 9 — Potential hosting organisations.....	63
Table 10 — Community contributions to ICT standards for engineering materials data.....	66
Table A.1 — ISO Material Products Standards Committees	105
Table A.2 — ISO TC 17 Steel Subcommittees	106
Table A.3 — European Standards Committee for Metallic and Non-Metallic Material Products	106
Table A.4 — ECISS Material Products Standards Committees.....	107
Table A.5 — Certificate types specified in EN 10204:2004.....	113
Table A.6 — Classification of the different classes related to materials	118

CWA 16762:2014 (E)**Foreword**

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties on 2014-03-10, the constitution of which was supported by CEN following the public call for participation made on 2012-01-26.

A list of the individuals and organizations which supported the technical consensus represented by the CEN Workshop Agreement is available to purchasers from the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre. The following organisations support the document:

- AFRL/RXT, United States of America
- Agathis Consulting, Belgium
- Alstom Power Ltd, United Kingdom
- Beta Technology Ltd, United Kingdom
- Caesar Systems Ltd, United Kingdom
- CETIM, France
- DFKI, Germany
- ENEA, Italy
- Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing (BAM), Germany
- FernUniversität in Hagen, University
- GKN Aerospace Engine System, Sweden
- Granta Design, United Kingdom
- Instron, United Kingdom
- JRC IET, the Netherlands
- Kent State University, United States of America
- McGaw Technology, Inc., United States of America
- National Physical Laboratory (NPL Management Ltd.), United Kingdom
- Nist (National Institute of Standards and Technology), United States of America
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory, United States of America
- R&R Data Services, United States of America
- Tata Steel, United Kingdom
- TOYO Univ. Regional Development Studies, Japan
- TUV Rheinland, Hong Kong
- VZLU Praha, Czech Republic

The SERES Project Team was chaired by Chris Bullough, Alstom Power Ltd, and composed of:

- Alexandre Faget, Granta Design
- Aurélie Virgili, Agathis Consulting
- David Leal, Caesar Systems Ltd
- John Rumble, R&R Data Services
- Malcolm Loveday, Beta Technology Ltd
- Tim Austin, JRC IET

The final review/endorsement round for this CWA was started on 2013-12-23 and was successfully closed on 2014-02-23. The CWA has been officially approved at the final CEN WS/SERES Plenary Meeting on 2014-03-10. The final text of this CWA was submitted to CEN for publication on 2014-03-31.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the National Members of The following countries: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

Comments or suggestions from the users of the CEN Workshop Agreement are welcome and should be addressed to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre.

This is a free preview. Purchase the entire publication at the link below:

[Product Page](#)

-
- [Looking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore](#)
 - [Learn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation](#)
-